

## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name** 

STEVAN CORDAS

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-14-3332-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

July 07, 2014

Respondent Name

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 54

#### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: No position statement received from the requestor

Amount in Dispute: \$350.00

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The following is the carrier's statement with respect to this dispute of 3/10/2014. The requestor billed 99456-W6-Wp for extent of injury exam wand was paid the MAR for this. The requestor also billed \$350.00 for MMI exam with code 99456-W5-WP. However, the requestor found the claimant not to be at MMI but continued to bill with 99456-W5-WP. Texas Mutual denied payment absent correct coding."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due	
March 10, 2014	CPT Code 99456-W6	\$350.00	\$0.00	

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

#### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204 sets out the fee guideline for workers' compensation specific services.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
  - CAC-W1 WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT
  - 892 DENIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DWC RULES AND/OR MEDICAL FEE GUIDELINE INCLUDING CURRENT CPT CODE DESCRIPTIONS/INSTRUCTIONS
  - CAC-W3 IN ACCORDANCE WITH TDI-DWC RULE 134.804, THIS BILL HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AS A REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OR APPEAL
  - CAC-193 ORIGINAL PAYEMNT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. UPON REVIEW, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THIS CLIAM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY

- CAC4 THE PROCEDURE CODE IS INCONSISTENT WITH THE MODIFIER USED OR A REQUIRED MODIFIER IS MISSING
- 350 IN ACCORDANCE WITH TDI-DWC RULE 134.804, THIS BILL HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED AS A REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OR APPEAL
- 724 NO ADDITIONAL PAYMENT AFTER A RECONSIDERATION OF SERVICES. FOR INFORMATION CALL 1-800-937-6824
- 732 ACCURATE CODING IS ESSENTIAL FOR REIMBURSEMENT. MODIFIER BILLED INCORRECTLY OR MISSING. SERVICES ARE NOT REIMBURSABLE AS BILLED
- 892 DENIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DWC RULES AND/OR MEDICAL FEE GUIDELINE INCLUDING CURRENT CPT CODE DESCRIPTIONS/INSTRUCTIONS

#### Issues

- 1. Did the requestor bill the disputed services appropriately in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

### **Findings**

- 1. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code 134.204(j)(2)(A) states "If the examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, determines MMI has not been reached, the MMI evaluation portion of the examination shall be billed and reimbursed in accordance with paragraph (3) of this subsection. Modifier "NM" shall be added." Review of the submitted documentation provided supports the service billed is 99456-W6 with one unit in the amount of \$350.00 for maximum medical improvement and impairment rating, however review of DWC-69 Report of Medical Evaluation documents the injured employee did not reach maximum medical improvement. Service billed is not in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(j)(2)(A). Therefore, no additional reimbursement is due.
- 2. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$0.00. Based upon the documentation submitted, no additional reimbursement is recommended

#### Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

## **Authorized Signature**

		12/10/14
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

# YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere habla	r con una persona	en español acer	ca de ésta corres	spondencia, favor	de llamar a 512-	804-4812